Applicant(s) Application No. 10/709,833 LI ET AL. Interview Summary **Art Unit** Examiner Aklilu k. Woldemariam 2624 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Micheal Miranda. (1) Aklilu k. Woldemariam. (4) Simir Ahmed. (2) Mario Costanrio. Date of Interview: 06 November 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: 2004/0037473A1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agreed to withdraw the rejections based on U.S. Patent number 5,850,974 to Fan et al. The examiner indiccated that at least some ot the current claims would be rejected in view of U.S 2004/0037473 to Ahmed et al.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required